AHA Committee: Practice Responsible Citizenship

Christine Olson Scott Peterson Rick Robinson Tom Williford

 What have we done? (our process) What have we found? Implications- What could we do from here? We reminded ourselves what we were measuring?		
	Develop the combination of knowledge, skills, values, and dispositions necessary to make a difference in local and global communities	
	Recognize themselves as part of a larger social fabric, with public lives and personal ownership of social problems	
	Explore the nature and use of power and authority in various contexts	
	Engage in democracy as a life-enhancing, every day practice of skills such as attentiveness to public affairs and current events, regular volunteering, creative use of conflict, active group membership and collective problem solving	
	Express their voices through informed citizenship and participation in civic and political processes	
	Confidently engage in civic discourse, self-reflection, and consideration of other points of view	

What are we reasonably able to do.... and do well?

Develop the combination of knowledge, skills, values, and dispositions necessary to make a difference in local and global communities
Recognize themselves as part of a larger social fabric, with public lives and personal ownership of social problems
Explore the nature and use of power and authority in various contexts
Engage in democracy as a life-enhancing, every day practice of skills such as attentiveness to public affairs and current events, regular volunteering, creative us of conflict, active group membership and collective problem solving
Express their voices through informed citizenship and participation in civic and political processes
Confidently engage in civic discourse, self-reflection, and consideration of other points of view

What sources of data and possible assessment measures do we have that are relevant to these sub-outcomes?

Local data and resources- SMSU:

☐ Civic Engagement Survey (CES)
□ NSSE (2008, 2011, 2013)
☐ Campus-wide Survey of Civic Engagement (2009; previously in 2004)
☐ Service-Learning Outcomes
□ Data about service activities done in groups in three areas of campus:
o Student Clubs
AthleticsResidential Life
☐ Review of relevant rubrics and assessment measures, for example:
The view of refevant rubites and assessment measures, for example.
Identification/review of resources from relevant national and regional organizations:
AACII Civia Engagament vuhvia
AACU Civic Engagement rubricMeasures available through AASCU American Democracy Project
☐ Rubrics/measures available via National Campus Compact, Minnesota Campus Compact
AASCU Corporation for National and Community Service
ACCOTT DETERSON Payrious of CES)
→(SCOTT PETERSON– Review of CES)

What do we know from what we have gathered thus far? (selected insights)

- Noticed gap between what graduating seniors were saying they had done with respect to civic engagement and we knew students were doing via athletics, student clubs and residence life
- Asked ourselves why this might be?..... Maybe as student do various forms of civic engagement --- vote, volunteer, sit on local boards, write letters to the editor, stay up on current events—they are not connecting those activities to.........

"I am an engaged citizen in these ways."

- Decided to start there....
 - How might we measure students' spontaneous sense of themselves as engaged citizens?
 - What is their understanding of the notion of "civic engagement"?

Recognized need to develop new measures and processes...kept certain considerations in mind

What rubrics or parts of rubrics might we use?
Time efficient
Exportable Could be used relatively easily across different LEP courses/disciplines
What makes sense developmental as a campus (i.e. where are we now as a campus?)

One opened ended question: What does civic engagement mean to you?

- Initially 3 sections of LEP 400 (2012, 2013):
 - One had a primary focus upon civic engagement and applied civic engagement assignments (on campus)
 - o One discussed civic engagement in more general terms (on campus)
 - o One considered civic engagement (online)
- Pre/Post
- Reviewed and coded for themes, for example:
 - o Multi-faceted, differentiated vs general view of civic engagement
 - o Sense of efficacy... I can do this?
 - O Sense of motivation...Desire to do more civic engagement?
 - O In addition word count.... How much can they spontaneously say?
- Followed up by administering to lower division courses Development Psychology (one included SL, other did not)
- Now in process of developing Likert scales for selected themes to quantify change over time

Made adjustments/systemized other measures of civic engagement:

- CES-R
- Service-Learning Outcomes

IMPLICATIONS

□ Have means of measure change over time Fr→Sr (LEP100-LEP 400...and those in between 200 & 300 level courses)
 ○ CES-R (LEP 100-→LEP 400...and those in between 200/300 level courses)
 ○ What does civic engagement mean to you? (LEP 100—LEP 400, those in between)
 □ Means of measure pre/post for courses that address LEP Outcome #8 - Practice Responsible Citizenship
 ○ Could be adapted to more specifically address content of a given course -e.g. "How does knowledge of American government related to being an engaged citizen?"
 □ Able to make distinctions between engaged citizen outcomes based upon how civic engagement is addressed in a given course:
 ○ Course that generally in lecture/discussion format address CE
 ○ Course that addresses CE in lecture/discussion + service-learning
 ○ Courses that have primary CE lecture/discussion focus + application/ SL assignments (e.g. LEP 400 Self as Citizen course)

☐ In others areas of campus---Student Clubs, Res Life, Athletics—have means of measure more than just WHAT students are doing?...........

Could also measure What doing service mean w/ regard to view of

themselves as "engaged citizens"